	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/482,354	IIZUKE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wayne Langel	1754
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Wayne Langel</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Edwards.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 December 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 27		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Examiner's Amendment.	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
Warrang of Land		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriato)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 0000